MEETING MINUTES FOR THE
BOARD OF DIRECTORS

OF THE

LOUISIANA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

HELD AT

LOUISIANA STATE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM BUILDING
FOURTH FLOOR BOARDROOM

8401 UNITED PLAZA BOULEVARD
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA
ON THE 15TH DAY OF JUNE, 2012

REPORTED BY: ELICIA H. WOODWORTH, CCR

COMMENCING AT 9:34 A.M.

	Page 2
1	
2	Appearances of Board Members Present:
3	Harry Avant
4	Louis Reine
5	Alden Andre
6	Jason Elkoubi
7	A.J. Roy
8	Jay Rousseau
9	
10	Staff members present:
11	Daria Vinning
12	Brenda Guess
13	Rick Broussard
14	Seth Brown
15	Susan Bigner
16	Sajni Patel
17	Melissa Moore
18	Felipe Martinez
19	LouAnn Greco
20	Steven Grissom
21	Larry Henson
22	Kathy Blankenship
23	Bob Cangelosi
24	Errol Smith
25	

	Page 3
1	
2	MR. ROY:
3	I'll call to order the Board of
4	Directors Louisiana Economic Development Corporation.
5	Roll call, please.
6	MS. VINNING:
7	A.J. Roy.
8	MR. ROY:
9	Here.
10	MS. VINNING:
11	Jay Rousseau.
12	MR. ROUSSEAU:
13	Here.
14	MS. VINNING:
15	Alden Andre.
16	MR. ANDRE:
17	Here.
18	MS. VINNING:
19	Jason Elkoubi.
20	MR. ELKOUBI:
21	Here.
22	MS. VINNING:
23	Mike Saucier.
24	(No response.)
25	MS. VINNING:

	Page 4	:
1	Bal Sereen.	
2	(No response.)	
3	MS. VINNING:	
4	Thomas cotton.	
5	(No response.)	
6	MS. VINNING:	
7	Harry Avant.	
8	MR. AVANT:	
9	Here.	
10	MS. VINNING:	
11	Louis Reine.	
12	MR. REINE:	
13	Here.	
L4	MS. VINNING:	
15	Robert Stuart.	
16	(No response.)	
17	MS. VINNING:	
18	Six out of 11 members. We have a	
19	quorum.	
20	MR. ROY:	
21	We have before us the minutes of the	
22	April 20th Board. Meeting what is the pleasure of the	
23	Board?	
24	MR. ANDRE:	
25	Move for approval.	
		ļ

		Page 5
1	MR.	ROY:
2		Motion for approval as presented.
3	MR.	ROUSSEAU:
4		Second.
5	MR.	ROY:
6		Second.
7		Any discussion?
8	(No	response.)
9	MR.	ROY:
10		Hearing none. All in favor aye.
11	(Sev	veral members respond "aye".)
12	MR.	ROY:
13		All opposed, nay.
14	(No	response.)
15	MR.	ROY:
16		Without objection.
17		Also before us, the minutes of the
18	Screening Commit	ttee May 18th meeting.
19	MR.	REINE:
20		What do we do with this?
21	MR.	ROY:
22		I'm sorry?
23	MR.	REINE:
24		Why do we have minutes? Explain to me
25	what a Screening	g Committee is.

	Page 6
1	MR. ROY:
2	Well, the Screening Committee is
3	generally the committee that approves deals that are up
4	to half a million now.
5	MR. BROUSSARD:
6	A million dollars.
7	MR. ROY:
8	A million dollars. So those are the
9	meeting for that meeting.
10	MR. REINE:
11	I move to accept the minutes.
12	MR. ROY:
13	Motion for approval as presented.
14	Is there a second?
15	MR. AVANT:
16	Second.
17	MR. ROY:
18	Any discussion?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. ROY:
21	Hearing none. All in favor, aye.
22	(Several members respond "aye".)
23	MR. ROY:
24	All opposed, nay.
25	(No response.)

MR. ROY:

Without objection.

And Mr. Avant, the chairman of the Policy Committee, will tell us about our earlier policy meeting.

MR. AVANT:

The Policy Committee met earlier and we're bringing a Declaration of Emergency Rules and Fund Allocation for approval. First of all, to establish the rules for EDRED, which is Economic Development Site Readiness Program. This will be added on in addition to the financial assistance programs where EDAP and EDLOP are, and EDRED will be additionally added to that. a program where we choose sites, LED will choose sites, and do much of the legwork to get these sites ready for development. And basically it's essentially for economic development in the state. We're going to try to eliminate some of the mitigating factors that cause delay and enhance the State's ability to secure projects and increase jobs in the state. That process has been started, so it's a request of funds of 4-million dollars from EDAP for the coming 12 months, and that would probably be \$40,000 spent per site for 100 sites, is the way that works out.

Also, there's a list of rules that we

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

were separately proposing for discussion and hopefully approval today concerning the site developments.

Developments can come from private, public or another economic development program.

At this time, I'm just wanting some discussions, questions and comments from the Board on the new addition.

MR. ROY:

Well, Mr. Avant, if it's appropriate,
I'll make the motion to approve, and perhaps we can have
some discussion since I made the motion to approve the
policy committee.

MR. ANDRE:

And I'll second.

MR. ROY:

Perhaps Steven wants to elaborate.

MR. GRISSOM:

I just wanted to make our team available for any questions of the full Board if there are any questions. We certainly within the Department view this as a very high priority. We appreciate LEDC taking a look at this new program and the funding allocation. We think it will help us be much more competitive for projects that are looking at options across multiple states, and we think it will help the State with its

8

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

12 13

1415

16 17

18 19

2122

20

2324

Page 9

efforts to increase the number of jobs available for Louisiana citizens.

MR. ROY:

Jay was, I think, the only Board member that was not here, but, basically, this will allow us to operate for the next 120 days; correct, without -- under the Emergency Rule, and we're going to use that four million over the next 12 months, as Harry said, towards economic development. And at the end of the year, we will renew it, but it will not detract from our current EDLOP or EDAP programs.

We actually need approval of two things today: We need approval of the policy that has been presented, and we need approval to move the four million into the program, so those are the two things before us.

I'll someone else make the motions, should they be so inclined. Anyone?

MR. ROUSSEAU:

You need a motion of approval of one or two?

MR. ROY:

I guess we can do that in two motions -
I mean, in one motion. We can combine both of them, so
is your motion to adopt the policy as presented and to

	Page 10
1	fund it with 4-million dollars?
2	MR. ROUSSEAU:
3	Yes, it is.
4	MR. ROY:
5	We have a motion.
6	MS. GRECO:
7	Mr. Chairman, can also add, if you
8	wanted to include a directive with the staff to move
9	with promulgation in the same form?
10	MR. ROY:
11	Okay. Is that part of your motion?
12	MR. ROUSSEAU:
13	Yes, it is. Even though I wasn't here,
14	yes, it is.
15	MS. GRECO:
16	Thank you.
17	MR. ROY:
18	We have our motion. Is there a second?
19	MR. ANDRE:
20	Second.
21	MR. ROY:
22	Second.
23	Any discussion? Any other discussion?
24	(No response.)
25	MR. ROY:

	Page 11
1	Any other question, perhaps, comments?
2	Jay, you have anything else?
3	MR. ROUSSEAU:
4	No.
5	MR. ROY:
6	Hearing none. All in favor, aye.
7	(Several members respond "aye".)
8	MR. ROY:
9	All opposed, nay.
10	(No response.)
11	MR. ROY:
12	Without objection. Thank you.
13	MR. GRISSOM:
14	Thank you. We'll be sure to keep you
15	updated on the progress.
16	MR. ROY:
17	Secretary Treasurer's Report,
18	Ms. Blankenship.
19	MS. BLANKENSHIP:
20	Good morning. Secretary Treasurer's
21	Report as of June 15th: The Financial Assistance
22	Program, we have \$200,000 budgeted. Projects approved
23	today is \$65,625 for a balance of \$134,375. In Capital
24	Outlay, a budget of \$13,380,139 dollars. Projects
25	approved, 1,205,500, for a balance of 12,174,639. For

State Small Business Credit Initiative, we have a budget of 8,433,468. Approved projects, \$4,043,567, for a balance of 4,389,901. We'll be making an adjustment to the Small Business Credit Initiative for the final 8/014 report, which when we close out fiscal year '12 at August 14 for the June 30th fiscal year, two of the Venture Capitals, Themelios and Louisiana Fund for each a million will be just shown as reserved and not full committed. We're going to change that to zero because the treasury will not give us our second tranche until we have signed agreements, and we're going to get those shortly, but if we don't have the signed agreements prior -- we don't think we're going to get them prior to June 30th, that will show that the money is not obligated on June 30th, and then when we get the second tranche, we'll put it back.

Is that correct, Ann?

MS. VILLA:

Yes. The Treasury doesn't consider it obligated or committed until the agreements are signed and sent to Treasury and executed and approved by Treasury, so in our discussions with them, they said they that don't consider that as obligated, so we shouldn't be considering it as obligated. We'll just show it approved.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Page 13

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

Okay. If you'll go to the next paage page, on the Fund Balance page, in column W at the row, we're projecting a balance of 1,624,717. We haven't adjusted it yet. The revenue projections still look like they're about on target, so we'll adjust that when the final year closes out. I did want to bring to your attention that on the previous report, we had anticipated a possible amendment to the EDAP Program, which did not occur. So going back to -- I'm sorry. Going back to the first page, on our EDAP balance for next year, we did move the 2,040,005, but the previous report had another proposed amendment for 2.7-million. They didn't take that, so that wasn't an adjusted budget from last month, so the other amendment did not go through.

And we should have an additional -- in fiscal year '13, HB2 Priority, 2-million dollars in EDAP, which should be given a line of credit at the October hearing at the bond commission.

MR. ROY:

Any questions or comments?

MR. REINE:

On page 3, you got actual and then projections, at the top is income and 20 down is...

Page 14

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

Correct; expenditures. And that's based on like full expenditure authority, so we usually don't expend our full budget, so it will probably be a little bit more.

MR. REINE:

What do you do with the Vendor Compensation Fund?

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

The Vendor Compensation Fund is on row 18, and that's -- we're projecting 9.5-million right now and they're right about there, so it's showing a little less right now. We're just a couple of percentage points under from prior year, but if we stay on target, we should meet that.

MR. REINE:

And I don't know if you changed it at one point in time, wasn't Vendor Compensation money at all or part dedicated to the Workforce Training Program?

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

Six and a half million of it was at one point in years past, but the law had changed, and it was in '01 or '02 , I think, is when the department reorganized the Vendor Compensation was consolidated and it was to fund all LEDC programs, including the

Workforce Training Program, which is no longer funded. It is now being used for the Fast Start Program, which is our training program today. So it basically -- that six and a half, if you will, think of it another way, it's funding the Fast Start Program, which is on row 36, which is five and a half.

MR. REINE:

And all of the zeros, those are -- last year you did 719,000 in workforce training in Bossier.

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

Right. Those are some old -- under
Traditional Programs, that was from prior leftovers that
were carried forward because the contracts for were two
years, and sometimes they get extended to a third year,
so some of those prior year contracts that had rolled
forward. Our prior year actually in fiscal year '11 was
719, but I think there's one active or maybe two active
in current year, but it's going to be closed out this
year, so there might be a small balance in fiscal year
'12 for one workforce training program that I think is
still active from the old program.

MR. REINE:

Does this say Administrative and Operating Expenses is going from 800,000 to 4.9 million on 27?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 16

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

Correct. There was a means of financing swap for -- in years past the administrative expenses -the budget office has basically done -- the Office of Planning and Budgeting has basically done a means of financing swap. We're paying more expenses from LED --from the LED fund and from general funds. means of financing swap that the budget office has directed. So basically what we were paying for projects before, like I called them line item appropriations, like the Bond Debt payments that we use to pay for CG Rail, Union Tank and Northrop Grumman, all of the these things were being funded from the LED fund, so now we're they're not funding the debt service payments anymore. They were moved to another agency as you see there. They created a new schedule under our department Schedule 20-931 and other requirements section of the bill, and all of the bond debt payments and special projects were being appropriated from the general fund, not the LED fund. So they just moved the funding source that -- what we were paying for the bond debt projects is being used to fund big LED in other areas.

MR. REINE:

Say that one more time.

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

The bond debt payments for Union Tank, CG Rail and Northrop Grumman are now beng paid in another schedule, and it's Schedule 20-931 in HB1 in the appropriations bill and they're all being funded by the general fund, which has traditionally been funded by the LED fund in years past. So instead of paying the bond debt service payments and some of the line item appropriations from the LED fund, a means of financing swap was done. They're giving us general funds to pay for those, and the funds that were being used to pay for those are not paying for LED operating.

MR. ROY:

So, Kathy, does that mean in the end that money is now -- that expenditure is now shown as administrative operating expenses?

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

Correct. It's a means of financing swap. So they took away money from LED general fund and substituted it with LED fund. So LED had to give up general fund and they substituted a means of financing swap with the LED fund, but in essence, they really gave us more general fund in the big picture because the LED fund has been depleted, where we don't have the fund balance any more. So in toto for the department, our general fund has increased, so it's not -- we're not

paying as much with the LED fund because we don't have that healthy fund balance that we've had in the years past. So they're giving us general funds for our project commitments and our bond debt payments in another schedule, and they're maximizing the use of the LED fund to pay for LED direct.

MR. REINE:

The total increase of 4.1-million dollars, that's all bond payments?

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

There's other project commitments as well. There's -- no. I would have to go back because every year, the bond debt schedule changes. The amount changes. I would have to get the fiscal year '12 budget to show you which -- how much the fiscal year '13 -- I'm sorry -- fiscal year '13 bond debt payments are for each one of these, but, in essence, that's what they did. would have been the same if -- it's just another way to reflect that. They could have left the project in this schedule and funded it with LED fund and reduced the operating expenses. They just -- we were trying to consolidate all of the project commitments and the bond debt payments outside of Office of the Secretary Office of Business Development so that it makes our budget look inflated, and it doesn't show our true operating.

moved all of these projects to another new schedule to show our -- so from year to year historically, it will more accurately reflect what our continuing operations are, but the goal is to use the LED fund to its maximum capacity to fund LED expenses.

MR. REINE:

But what concerns me is, is if I look at administrative costs and operating expenses, and it looks like what I envision it as a 4-million-dollar increase in what it cost to run the department because of the way you put it on paper. I don't understand, if you got loan payments or something, you don't tack them separate, instead of it looking like the cost of the department goes from 800,000 to 4.9 million dollars because it's under administrative and operation fees. When I look at something that tells me how much you spend to operate the department, it looks like it's a huge adjustment.

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

It's discretionary as to what you can say is being funded. I usually assign the LED admin dollars to an area that I think is appropriate, and I have applied a portion of this to our advertising contract for advertising and marketing, so the bulk of this money is being spent in our advertising contract.

Page 20

MR. REINE:

The bulk of 4-million dollars.

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

Approximately the same amount would be spent for admin because that wouldn't have changed, and the means of financing substitution would be in another category of that is being funded by general funds. I assigned it to the Advertising and Marketing Program for the ad contract is the bulk of it.

MR. REINE:

On line 38 and down, all of these numbers go to zero.

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

Right. They're now -- all of project commitments and bond debt payments are still -- we still have obligations against many of those, and in addition to these, there are others that are not being -- that were not being previously funded from the LED fund. They've all been moved to a new schedule in the back of the bill in the Other Requirements Schedule 20-931 and they're being funded with general funds.

MR. REINE:

How were they funded before?

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

Primarily from the LED fund. Since

Page 21

almost inception, I would estimate at least six years of bond debt payments we've been paying for CG Rail and Union Tank and Northrop Grumman, we've been paying out of LED fund. And these payment have gone down. In previous years, they were as much as 3-million dollars for one, so these payments have gone down over the years, and they will drop off when they amortize out but...

MR. REINE:

How much money and how much longer does -- I don't know. I guess you're telling me now they're a debt of the State and not LED. They're being paid in general fund dollars.

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

Correct.

MR. REINE:

How much longer do we have left to pay in funds for Northrop Grumman?

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

I can get that to you.

MR. REINE:

Are we going to be paying the debt program years after they leave?

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

I think it's a 20-year agreement. I

Page 22

can't remember if it's the 13th or 14th year that we're in the agreement now. CG Rail will expire next year. August of '12 is the last year of our bond debt payment for CG Rail -- I'm sorry. I think St. CG Rail. I can't remember which one, but one falls off next year, and then after that, we'll have two to pay until the end of the contract.

MR. REINE:

Are we going to be continuing to pay on debt for Northrop Grumman after they shut down?

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

It's a bond debt payment. It's required. There's no recourse.

MR. REINE:

So they have no obligation under these arrangements to be in business, to be employing people?

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

I think there was a clawback, but -- yes. There's clawbacks in every agreement.

Northrop Grumman did pay. That's the one that paid us the 34-million dollars back. They already paid us 34-million dollars back, and it was deposited into the LED fund last year. If you look on row 17 and you see in column B the 34,585,000, that was what Northrop Grumman returned, and then the Treasury

swept it and sent it to the general fund.

MR. REINE:

And they didn't use it to pay off the bond?

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

We are using it to pay off the balance of the bonds. The bonds are required to pay that debt schedule, and the State is obligated to pay those bonds. It's just being funded, you know, in accordance with the fee schedule.

MR. REINE:

There's no provisions that pay off the bond?

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

No. I think in the bond agreement, there are certain points that you can ask for it to be paid off early, but we haven't reached that point yet.

MR. REINE:

Okay. Thank you.

MR. ANDRE:

I have just one question. Help me, please, page 1, at the bottom of the page one, I'm reading Adjusted EDAP budget 13.3-3 House Bill 2 for FY 13 provides an additional -- does that mean to mean that the budget for FY 13 is going to be 23.3 million?

	Page 24
1	MS. BLANKENSHIP:
2	Yes.
3	MR. ANDRE:
4	Thank you.
5	How does that compare with how we
6	started our legislative year; do you remember?
7	MS. BLANKENSHIP:
8	No, I don't have that. It should have
9	been close to 13 to 15 million. We started with 15
10	million this year.
11	MR. ANDRE:
12	In total?
13	MR. REINE:
14	And I think HB 1059, I take it that was
15	a budget fee bill?
16	MS. BLANKENSHIP:
17	The HB 1059 was a supplemental bill.
18	That was for fiscal year '12. That was the supplemental
19	bill.
20	MR. REINE:
21	They took two million?
22	MS. BLANKENSHIP:
23	Right.
24	MR. REINE:
25	And that's where we got the 13 million?

Page 25 1 MS. BLANKENSHIP: 2 Right. That became at 53. That one is 3 signed already. MR. ANDRE: 4 5 So am I correct that they're starting out FY 13 with 23.3 million instead of 13? 6 7 MS. BLANKENSHIP: 8 We don't have the authority to spend it 9 until the Bond Commission gives us a line of credit. So 10 I wouldn't -- like when we come back in July or August, 11 I'm not going to reflect that until we get a cash line of credit. 12 13 MR. ANDRE: I understand. 14 15 MS. BLANKENSHIP: And think then the Board approved today 16 17 for four million to come out, so you're going to have less four million for the program. 18 19 MR. ANDRE: 20 I understand that. We're starting out FY 13 with 23.3 million, and last year we started out 21 with 15? 22 MS. BLANKENSHIP: 23 24 Right. That's correct. MR. ANDRE: 25

Page 26

That's a significant increase. I'm appalled. How did that happen?

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

Well, we always request funding of a new 10-million dollars every year in EDAP, and some years we get it and some years we don't. And from year to year, it's funded in different ways. Sometimes it's funded with GO Bonds and sometimes it's funded with general funds or LED fund or -- the new 10 million is GO Bonds.

MR. ANDRE:

And at what point do we know exactly what it's going to be?

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

It usually --

MR. ANDRE:

This is tentative right now; right?

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

Right. I don't think the governor has signed HB 2 yet, so unless there was a veto, it should be -- we should get a line of credit in October, but there's no guarantee. They can give us a partial line of credit. Out of the 10 million, they could give us zero or they could give us all 10 or they could give us five.

MR. ROY:

	Page 27
1	How often has that happened in the past?
2	MS. BLANKENSHIP:
3	For the EDAP Program, we've never not
4	gotten a cash line of credit for the full amount at the
5	October hearing.
6	MR. ANDRE:
7	So it will be October before we know for
8	sure?
9	MS. BLANKENSHIP:
10	Right.
11	MR. REINE:
12	So HB 2 does contain all of the State's
13	bonding authority?
14	MS. BLANKENSHIP:
15	Does HB 2
16	MR. REINE:
17	Contain all of the State's bonding
18	authority.
19	MS. BLANKENSHIP:
20	Yes.
21	MR. REINE:
22	I suspect it's going to be vetoed.
23	MR. ROY:
24	Any other questions or comments? Good
25	questions. Any comments?

	Page 28
1	(No response.)
2	MR. ROY:
3	Anything else?
4	(No response.)
5	MR. REINE:
6	Thank you.
7	Let me go back to this, is there a way
8	in administrative to list those bond payments separate
9	so that I'm just concerned I'm looking at something
10	that looks like reflects a significant amount of
11	increased administrative costs, and if we can
12	MS. BLANKENSHIP:
13	You want me to break down the 4.9 of how
14	I'm assigning it within the department; is that what
15	you're asking?
16	MR. REINE:
17	Is there just another line you can put
18	it under instead of administrative if it's bond
19	payments?
20	MS. BLANKENSHIP:
21	Well, administrative is
22	administrative/operating. It's just
23	MR. REINE:
24	It's a catchall. I understand. But if
25	you're talking about administrative, to somebody who

just looks at this, it's just administrative costs. If you can put it separate --

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

I can discuss it with Ann as how to we want to allocate it, to which operating expenses we want to allocate it to out of our budget. The biggest general fund item that we have that's easy to identify is the ad budget, so that's how I assigned it.

MR. ANDRE:

I think it's a point well taken because in years to come when you compare and your administrative went up by that much.

MS. BLANKENSHIP:

Yes. Okay.

And I did have one other question for you, the next time we meet and we have the fiscal year 13 budget, did you want to have a new section for the Ready Program, the sites program.

MR. ROY:

I think that would be good.

MR. ANDRE:

Yes.

MR. BLANKENSHIP:

For the four million and however much --

25

okay.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 30 MR. ROY: 1 I think on the lines of Mr. Reine's 2 comments, any breakdown of details could --3 MR. REINE: 4 Obviously every agency is looking for 5 cuts, and for somebody who just looks at this, it looks 6 7 like the administrative costs just -- how would you 8 explain that? Just the bond payments, wouldn't --9 MS. BLANKENSHIP: 10 Like we're not paying any bond payments 11 with the operating money. Yes. MR. REINE: 12 13 If it's going to something else administrative. 14 15 MR. ANDRE: That's important. 16 MR. ROY: 17 Any other question or comments? 18 19 MR. ANDRE: 20 Move for approval of the report as submitted. 21 22 MR. ROY: 23 Motion for approval of the report as presented. 24 MR. REINE: 25

	Page 31
1	Second.
2	MR. ROY:
3	Second.
4	Any discussion?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. ROY:
7	Hearing none. All in favor, aye.
8	(Several members respond "aye".)
9	MR. ROY:
10	All opposed, nay.
11	(No response.)
12	MR. ROY:
13	Thank you , Ms. Blankenship.
14	Mr. Smith, accountant's report.
15	MR. SMITH:
16	LED staff report of May 31st, 2012:
17	Total Participation Loans as of May, 470,919. Total
18	Direct Loans as of the end of May, 7,824,414. MV Realty
19	is still past due. They'll be in forbearance until the
20	end of June. There's no change there. The only update
21	I have on MV Realty is the bank there's been no
22	action, so it appears to me as if this loan will soon be
23	foreclosed on.
24	Seth, did you get an update from
25	MR. BROWN:

off?

Page 32

I'm getting the same response that Errol is getting. It doesn't look good with this one. The only thing is it would be counted as a loss. It was participation, so we won't be writing a cash check for that.

MR. ROY:

Come up here. I'm not sure what you're saying is being recorded.

MR. BROWN:

I said, to reiterate, I'm getting the same response Errol is getting. We call like bill collectors. I get the assistant sometimes, and they're working thing out still. It's in forbearance, but it's not looking good. And it probably will be counted as a loss on our loan balance, but the other side of that coin is it was participation. We won't be writing out a cash check. We won't wrote that check out several years ago, so, I will...

Hope Credit Union. I'm sorry. Hope Credit Union.

MR. ROY:

Are you saying we already charged it

MR. BROWN:

No, I'm not.

MR. ROY:

So we wrote a check years ago?

MR. BROWN:

Right. There was an agreement, so if I'm not mistaken, it was a 40/60 participation when it was initially done, so it's the only participation we have on the book.

MR. ANDRE:

So help us so we don't make the same mistakes. What was the project for?

MR. BROWN:

This was from ABW Auto. It was a dealership -- it was several dealerships. It was two dealerships. It was Toyota and, I want to say it was a Chrysler dealership. Chrysler is no more in the mix with this one, if I'm not mistaken. I've been through the file enough. It started off as being one business owner and it was sold to another one about a year ago. It was ABW and it became MV Realty when they redid it, and I think they started some type of workout agreement back in September of '11 with this one as well. The initial owner has gotten out of the deal altogether. They let themselves from the building and went on to other endeavors, if I'm not mistaken. Then it went from a professional football player to a professional

Page 34

basketball player, Butch Carter. He had several dealerships around the country. He took over this dealership -- with virtually little to nothing, he took over the dealership and tried to make a go at it, and we all know what happened with the auto industry and dealers and manufacturers. There was some complications with that as well. But the loan officer, it started out being Delta Enterprise Corporation, if I'm not mistaken, that did this deal, and Hope Credit Union acquired them. The loan officer has been very, very difficult to catch. Errol has had trouble with him. I have had trouble catching him. I tried several times a week, and sometimes Errol would come into my office and we'll call again. And I might catch his assistant sometimes.

MR. ROY:

Under the loan participation document, who has the right to call the note? Only the credit union?

MR. BROWN:

The credit union has the right to call the note.

MR. ROY:

Do you know if they at least made an attempt on them?

MR. BROWN:

Page 35

They have not because it's still in forbearance. We have until the end of June that the third person would be under this provision.

MR. ROY:

We don't have any provisions in those participations to --

MR. BROWN:

Well, technically, if you give them a forbearance, it's not really late, late, you know. If you give them a grace period to work something out, so that -- you know, it's a nimble at this point until that expires, and maybe we should start sending demand letterers and things of that nature.

MR. ROY:

Do we have any right under the participation agreement to participate in the loan?

MR. CANGELOSI:

All we have to do is buy it. We don't want to buy it if it's already in default. If we bought it, we would be in control, otherwise, the agreement says the lender is the one is actually --

MR. REINE:

Is there any collateral on this loan?

MR. BROWN:

Yes. The real estate -- the auto

Page 36 dealership real estate itself is the collateral that's 1 on the loan. We didn't buy into the floor plan. 2 paid for the real estate itself. 3 4 MR. REINE: 5 What's the value of the real estate; do 6 you know? 7 MR. BROWN: 8 I have no idea at this point, Mr. Reine. 9 MR. REINE: 10 Where is it at? 11 MR. BROWN: 12 This is the Monroe area. This was done, 13 if I'm not mistaken, back in 2003, 2004, so it's been about eight years. 14 15 MR. BROUSSARD: Under the original terms, it was a 16 17 million-three in terms of collateral value. I think it was land, building and improvements to the land and 18 19 building. 20 MR. REINE: Again, do we own 60 percent of that 21 collateral, or we got the whole --22 MR. BROUSSARD: 23 We have 40. 24 MS. GUESS: 25

Page 37 Yes, 40. 1 MR. REINE: 2 3 Okay. The original loan amount was \$489,000, and they haven't paid but 19,000 on it in 4 5 eight years, nine years? MR. BROWN: 6 7 No. No. 8 MR. SMITH: 9 That wasn't the original amount. 10 was the amount being taken over by the credit union. 11 MR. BROWN: 12 Hope. 13 MR. SMITH: Yes, by Hope Credit Union. 14 15 MR. BROWN: Actually by Butch when the owners 16 17 changed hands. It was that amount when Butch, I think, took it over, somewhere in that area when Butch took it 18 19 over. 20 MR. BROUSSARD: That was in 2009, and the amount of the 21 loan then was a million-three, hence the value of the 22 collateral. Our participation was \$507,000. It was 23 it's supposed to be a five-year term loan. 24

MR. BROWN:

\$400,000?

Page 38

So if it's 400,000 now, and we're doing a 40 percent participation, we're looking at a loss somewhere of \$160,000 on that deal.

MR. REINE:

This is our portion of the loan,

MR. BROWN:

It's our portion that's on the book.

Excuse me. We only have one portion that's on the book, so excuse me.

MR. ROY:

And along those lines, we have not -- to my recollection, we have not done any participations recently, but to the extent we ever do some again, perhaps we should put in the agreement that if either party fails to be paid, then either party can call the note, or something along those lines. Because these guys, as may be the case with a lot of lenders out there, engaged in forbearance and we aren't getting paid and there's nothing we can do about it except buy the notes.

MR. BROWN:

Chairman Roy, I'm not sure if it's not in there or if it is. This is a file about the size of a file cabinet. I've been through it on one or two

Page 39

occasions. There was a situation where the original owner was on the deal by itself. He tried doing some type of workout, and one of his partners got off and I went through the it, so I'm trying to regurgitate something that's been three years ago what those terms were, but as I can remember that file, it's a drawer, so I'm going to go back and look at it and see what recourse in the original participation agreement we had. And like I said, this was done back in 2004, somewhere in that ballpark, you know, so it's been eight years. I came aboard in 2007, so I'll find out and I'll report back to you --

MR. ROY:

Okay.

MR. BROWN:

-- the Board about this one.

MR. ROY:

Mr. Smith, what other news do you have

for us?

MR. SMITH:

Okay. Hopefully good news.

Total EDLOP and EDAP loans as of May 31st, 1,762,752. They're all current. As of May 31st, we have 18 guaranteed loans, all are current, for 7,323,527. Allowance for Loan Loss Participation and

Direct, balance as of May 31st, reserve 519,581. Loan Balance 8,295,333. Allowance for EDLOP Loan Loss, balance as of May 31st, 264,412. Loan balance, 1,762,752. Allowance for Guarantee Loan Loss as of May 31st, 18 percent of 7,323,527 is 1,318,235.

Also, moving over to State Small Business
Credit Initiative, to date, we've approved eight loans.
Four are closed, as of May 31st, and four have not
closed. And the balances are as follows: The total is
4,186,766. Our current amount, 905,187. We have Great
Southern Galvanizing, that loan has been closed. They
have not drawn anything on that for whatever reason, so
that's where we are. Thank you very much.

MR. ROY:

Any questions or comments?

(No response.)

MR. ROY:

All right. Hearing none, I'll entertain a motion to accept the Accountants Report.

MR. AVANT:

So moved.

MR. ROY:

Motion.

MR. ANDRE:

Second.

1920

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

2324

Page 41 1 MR. ROY: Second. 2 3 All in favor, aye. 4 (Several members respond "aye".) MR. ROY: 5 6 All opposed, nay. 7 (No response.) 8 MR. ROY: 9 All right. Mr. Elkoubi, the President's 10 Report. 11 MR. ELKOUBI: 12 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think it's 13 been, I think, a couple of months now since I've had an opportunity to provide a report to the quorum. Maybe In 14 15 the month of May, so I've got several things to report. I'll try to be as brief as possible. 16 17 As I've shared before, Louisiana is now at its highest rank ever in every single major state 18 19 ranking of state business climates in the country, and 20 we've got some more news recently in early May. Chief Executive Magazine, which is a magazine that's read by 21 chief executives and other business decisionmakers that 22 does a survey every year where they basically ask their 23 readership "What do you think are the best and worse 24 states for business?" And they take a balance of those 25

responses and net it out and basically do an average of the states. When we took office eight -- four years ago -- dog years -- we were ranked number 45 in the country out of this Chief Executive Magazine. We've moved up steadily over the last four years. We were 67th last year, and the report that came out a month or so ago put us at number 13, so we moved up 32 spots in four years. Obviously, a lot of the rankings we've looked at are driven by some of the data, the fundamental data, in terms of economic performance, in terms of tax and regulatory structure. We've moved up considerably in those rankings as well, but on the reputation that Louisiana has along chief executives and other business decisionmakers, we've seen very, very rapid and steady improvement over the last four years. That put us in the best place we've ever been in this raking, as well as every other.

There was some discussion earlier about the legislative session. Obviously a number of government issues for LEDC and LED, but I wanted to comment on some of the other policies that have impacted the economic development. When I was here a couple of months ago, I presented to you an analysis that we did of another ranking in this case Forbes Best Places for Business Ranking, so the potential to move up even

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

further in that ranking based on continued improvements and education in terms of Louisiana. The governor's package to reform education was is adopted, I think as we've all seen, so we're very optimistic about the potential for continued improvements. There's also LED's legislative package, including the creation of targeted new incentive programs, was adopted, and over the next few months, we'll be in the process of basically implementing those programs. These are all things that are very targeted on the types of industries we're trying to cultivate here. They can only be used in competitive site selection situations for business expansion situations and will give us some tools that we can use to improve our competitiveness for sectors where other states have been able to offer some flexibility and some incentives that we currently do not have in place or at least do not have in place until these programs are passed by legislature an by the government.

There's been a number of major economic development project announcements over the last couple of months. I would like to mention just a couple of the highlights because there are many. In early May, Sempra, a company that's developing a national transport export facility in Southwest Louisiana, announced that it basically had its final commercial agreement for

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

building a \$6-billion facility. Obviously, that's going to be a major move of productivity for Southwest Louisiana and across the State. Also, earlier, there was an announcement that a company called Ronpak, which manufacturers basically the materials that many retailers and others use, restaurants use to package products, that they will be expanding their national manufacturing operations in Shreveport. They announced in early May that they would actually be relocating their headquarters, their corporate headquarters, from New Jersey to Shreveport, so very nice move there as well.

A number of other things across the State that I just want to share with you as well and mention some of those: Metal Sharp Notes announced that they plan to dock their -- in Jefferson Parish, but a lot of activity across the State. Also, a couple of significant events, to recognize the business success, in mid-May, LED and the Small Business Administration had a reception at the Governor's Mansion to recognize small businesses across the state, small business award winners. A very nice ceremony. Also, just earlier this week, we recognized some of Louisiana's leading manufacturers with the Lantern Awards, also at the Governor's Mansion.

So a lot of activity, a lot to celebrate, and I'll be happy to try to answer any questions you might have.

MR. ANDRE:

Have you, on the permitting issue at Nucor -- is that the project...

MR. ELKOUBI:

The question is about permitting issues at Nucor. I'm not familiar with the details of that situation, but I don't know if there's somebody upon staff who might want to try to comment on that.

MR. GRISSOM:

Sure. One of our team members recently visited the site. The project's moving forward and shared a number of pictures of certain facilities and storage facilities, in particular, that are underway and in progress, so it appears the project is moving forward in spite of some of the uncertainty out there with the litigation.

MR. REINE:

Let me ask you, I've heard a lot of concern from elected officials in that area about lack of local participation of workers and small businesses with Nucore; have you had any conversations about that?

MR. GRISSOM:

We can certainly check into it, so I'll reach out to our team member who visited with the company recently.

MR. REINE:

If you would. I've had conversations with several of the elected officials who were quite certain that local residents are not participating and/or not offering opportunities into the construction of the process, as well as a lot of businesses don't have any stake, which I think we put up quite a considerable amount of money as a state to attract them here. If our citizens are not participating in the venture, I don't know if it's money well spent.

MR. GRISSOM:

Certainly. We'll check into that.

Thank you.

MR. ROY:

Any other questions or comments?

(No response.)

MR. ROY:

Mr. Elkoubi, I appreciate it.

Under Other Business, Ms. Bigner on

Louisiana Fun II LP update.

MS. GUESS:

Mr. Chairman, I'm going to incorporate

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Susan's updates and my updates so we can delineate some time.

MR. ROY:

Okay.

MS. GUESS:

As mentioned earlier in the Secretary
Treasurer's Report and the other report, that we are
still in the process of working out the agreements for
two of our Venture Capital Seed Funds that were approved
early on this year. Of the three that were approved,
we've successfully negotiated and executed, rather, the
contract between New Orleans Startup Fund, so we've
closed or are about to close one of those three projects
for Venture Capital, and we're very close in getting the
final language for the other two. So we hope to report
to you in July that we have all of our Venture Funds in
place.

We also have for you have -- I have for you now, just to give you a little update on what we've been doing by December when we first got it, but the response has been really, really great. We made a trek to North Louisiana at the end of last month and we -- to our banking community and to our commercial and non-commercial entities across the state. The response has been really overwhelming and much appreciated.

We've been working through our small business development centers around the state, and so we are pleased to say that they are putting together a -- the bankers from respective areas from the credit unions, we ended up going to Shreveport and put together by the Shreveport Small Business Development Center had representatives from local credit unions that were also in attendance at that meeting, so it's moving along real good.

We also have an upcoming bankers workshop, if you will, for Community Trust Bank in Ruston in two days, and in the next couple of weeks, next week, we also have one with other bankers in the Ruston area that are not affiliated with Community Trust Bank that we will be talking to them separately. We know that historically the interest for participation in any of our loan programs have traditionally been below I-49 concentrated heavily in New Orleans, Baton Rouge, Lafayette, New Iberia, but we are trying to get some momentum in getting some loans out of the North and Central Louisiana area, and we feel that making some communication and making headway with some of the banks that are basically influential in that area, Community Trust being one, Red River Bank is the other one that we're working with, and we're hopeful that we will get

1

2

3

4

5

6

1 those banks on board very shortly. So I'm saying that overall, since December when we first started our 2 marketing efforts, and we're only into our sixth month of marketing the program, we are making great strides currently. Recently, during a visit to New Orleans area and in a meeting with the Hispanic Chamber in New Orleans, we had a request from the executive director of the Hispanic Chamber, Ms. Darlene Kattan, to provide some information for her constituents in their language. Thanks to our bilingual staff member Felipe Martinez, we have been able to convert this one page that I passed out to you for our Hispanic audiences in New Orleans and statewide, so I think we're going to be going back holding another round of meetings with them in New Orleans to make certain that we reach all of our targeted groups throughout the state on this initiative. And this is also a little marketing piece that we would like for you-all to take a look at that we've updated since then, and it will give you some idea. This is the kind of material that we're passing out to our bankers as we speak to them. We also have an entire banker's packet that we hand to them that include a checklist for the actual application, some forms that treasury requires that's included as part of the application, certain certifications that we are mandated by the

federal government to include in our packages and so-and-so. It's been -- we are very, very proud of the project that we have here.

So just wanted to give you a brief overview and update. I'll be happy to any questions you have.

MR. ROY:

Question or comments?

(No response.)

MR. ROY:

Themelios Ventures, are you going to comment on all of this?

MS. GUESS:

Well, those two are -- Themelios and LA Fund II, it was on there with the update. That's where we're still working on the wording for our allocation -- I'm sorry -- working with our subscription agreement with them, and that's where we are on those because they were then approved back earlier in the year. We're going to keep them on our Secretary Treasurer's Report as being approved and permitted and we feel that we'll have finalization on those two at the end of that.

Another thing I failed to mention, I want to just give you an update on our marketing, but also, as earlier mentioned, we've done eight projects

thus far and have given you a total of about \$4-million that we've spent. Right now, we have five projects in house pending to the total of about \$2.4-million, so we've been very busy. The staff just went up yesterday and participated in a marketing effort with the rest of our business incentive staff, and each time they come back, they bring a loan or one shows up shortly after that after development. In addition to the ones that have already been approved, we've got a healthy -- and then we also have one other Venture Capital Seed application that I believe just came in and we'll be providing on an ongoing basis possibly next month a more comprehensive list on the types of project, where they're located and the amounts of what we have already approved and especially those that we've updated.

MR. ROY:

Very good.

Any other questions or comments?

(No response.)

MR. ROY:

Do we have any other business?

(No response.)

MR. ROY:

Hearing none, I'll entertain a motion to

adjourn.

			Page	52
1	MR.	REINE:		
2		So moved.		
3	MR.	ROY:		
4		Motion to adjourn.		
5	MR.	ANDRE:		
6		Second.		
7	MR.	ROY:		
8		Second.		
9		All in favor, aye.		
10	(Ser	veral members respond "aye".)		
11	MR.	ROY:		
12		All opposed, nay.		
13	(No	response.)		
14	MR.	ROY:		
15		Adjourned.		
16	(Mee	eting concludes at 10:33 a.m.)		
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

STATE OF LOUISIANA:

This verification is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my original signature and original blue seal on this page;

I, Elicia H. Woodworth, Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, as the officer before whom this testimony was taken, do hereby certify that the witness, to whom oath was administered, after having been duly sworn by me upon authority of R.S. 37:2554 did testify as hereinbefore set forth in the foregoing pages;

That this testimony was reported by me in the stenotype reporting method, was prepared and transcribed by me or under my personal direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding;

That I am not related to counsel or to the parties herein, nor am I otherwise interested in the outcome of this matter.

Baton Rouge, Louisiana, on this date _____

Elicia H. Woodworth, CCR

Certificate No. 27014